1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	VANCE S. ELLIOTT,	No. C 06-80349 MISC-SI
9	Plaintiff,	PRE-FILING REVIEW ORDER: COMPLAINT NOT ACCEPTED FOR FILING
10	v.	
11	ANDREA BECK,	FILING
12	Defendant.	
or the Northern District of California 13 14 15 16 17 18	On December 5, 2006, plaintiff filed a complaint and an application to proceed <i>in forma pauperi</i> in this Court. In accordance with the Court's February 14, 2006 Order Adjudging Plaintiff a Vexatiou Litigant Subject to Pre-Filing Review, the Court reviewed plaintiff's complaint to determine whether	
ວ້ ສ15		
District 16		
Torther 17	it should be accepted for filing. The Court concludes that plaintiff's complaint, which alleges that	
وا 18	defendant stole \$750.00 from him, fails to establish any basis for federal jurisdiction. Accordingly	
19	plaintiff's complaint shall not be accepted for filing, and plaintiff's application to proceed in formation	
20	pauperis is DENIED as moot.	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22		
23	Dated: December 18, 2006	Suran Illston
24		SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge
25		
26		
27		
28		